PUBLIC MEETING FOR

PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT,

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

-00000-

: REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

HELD BY: MEETING DATE:

: October 26, 2005

MEETING TIME: 2:00 p.m.

Bureau of Land Management U.S. Department of Energy

U.S. Forest Service

MODERATOR:

Scott Powers, BLM

-00000-

ORIGINAL

Reporters, Inc. 10 West 100 South, Suite 250. Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801) 746-5080 phone (801) 746-5083 fax. 1-866-310-DEPO www.reportersinc net



1 restate your name and who you're representing. 2 you. MR. FISHER: He's right, I'm Rand Fisher, 3 4 Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Quality. And several things that we're concerned 14:19:52 5 with pipelines is that there may be a great deal of 6 7 disturbance to the natural environment and we'd like to 8 minimize the disruption of the negative impacts on the 9 environment for putting in roadways and pipelines and 10 well pads, and from the salt loading that may occur from 14:20:07 11 hydrocarbon development in these transportation 12 corridors as well. 13 Several things that we'd like to consider 14 and we're concerned about. The overall thing is that 15 14:20:23 we'd like these to minimize the hydrologic destruction. 16 Minimize the hydrologic modifications so that things are 17 not changed. We want to reduce runoff, we want to 18 reduce erosion, we want to reduce pollutant loading. 19 And particularly, the way that this can 20 happen is, as with any involvement, whether it's roads 14:20:39 21 or well pads or any other things that are going on, we 22 want to minimize the scraping of the land and 23 disturbance, the removal of vegetation, because that is 24 problematic. You get more erosion, you get more 25 pollutant loading from that. 14:20:54

We'd also like to minimize the soil compaction because with that you get more runoff which results in flashier streams which causes more erosion in the stream itself. We'd like to minimize the vegetative removal, minimize the clearing of the land as much as possible so that there's more water absorbing into the ground so we have a more natural vegetative cycle or hydrologic cycle.

We'd encourage in developing rules and guidelines for this for the offices to encourage or require that those who put in the pipelines review and adopt statements or low impact development, which are generally designed for urban development, but the base and fundamental concept in low impact development is to minimize the hydrologic disruption such that rainfall soaks into the ground as close to where the raindrop hits as possible. So we have less flashiness, less ups and downs in our steam flow, less erosion, less pollutant loading.

Several things that I would recommend or advise or hope that might be adopted in that, one of the primary things is one that the Price BLM office already has adopted and is using on their district, and that is the hydrologic modification standards for roads which are from the Price sub-BLM office. And I would strongly

14:21:09 14:21:19 14:21:38

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20 14:21:50

21

22

23

24

25 14:22:09

recommend, advise, and hope that those would be adopted and implement throughout the whole multiple states that these corridors go through, because these do reduce the erosion from roads, they reduce the salt loading that comes from the roads that are put in.

And it's also been the experience of people in the Price office that the companies that put these roads in, while they cost a little bit more to put in, they very shortly find they like them much more because they can get into their site even in snowy or wet weather, and it actually saves them money in the long run, which makes it much more useful, while reducing the pollutant loading and runoff and erosion that occurs there. So I strongly advise and hope that these Price field office hydrological modifications on roads would be adopted throughout the whole interstate process that's going on there.

In our office, we've developed some other guidelines and recommendations we hope will be considered. We'll submit these electronically later on, but we would propose some requirements for the pipelines, hydrocarbon pipelines, to be put in. I've reviewed some pipelines that were put in, particularly in the Price office and Nine Mile Canyon area, and observed multiple problems with those pipelines because

14:23:23 25

14:22:23

14:22:34

14:22:51

14:23:05

there's been extensive disturbance and removal of vegetation. The field that's been put back on has not been put properly, and it's been soft soiled with no vegetative cover on steep slopes and there's been extensive erosion.

where they put the pipelines across and underneath streams. And in some cases, the whole cover had some off and the pipeline has floated up and damaged a great deal of the environment with a whole lot of pollutant loading and sediment loading in there, and had the threat of possibly damaging or breaking the pipeline. So we'd recommend you make some specific requirements, particularly regarding to stream crossing, that those be done in a very careful and precise manner so that we have long term safety and lower costs on the long term, so they don't have to go and re-put those pipelines in after there's been erosion and damage going on there.

We'd like as a standard for pipelines and for other things that go in and for the roads that go in -- basically, the basic standard should be that the construction put in such that any erosion from that does not exceed the tolerable level that is established by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and its Resource Conservation Service. That is called a T-level. An

14:23:36

.

14:23:50

14:24:01 15

14:24:16 20

14:24:32 25

erosion should not exceed T.

14:24:45

14:25:03

14:25:11

14:25:21

Now that varies with the slope and the type of soil that's on there, and any local county office of the NRCS can tell you what T should be for a particular soil and type. And we think that the roads and the well pads and any construction or modification that goes on should be designed, and as quickly as possible, management measures implemented to reduce that erosion so that it does not exceed the tolerable rates, so we don't get gullies, so we don't get pollutant loading in the streams.

And there's many ways we can do that, primarily, vegetative. By putting vegetation in the ground, you stop that erosion, but there's also structural measures, particularly on slopes or in areas where you don't have vegetation growing, there's structural measures the can occur so that we don't have that erosion exceeding T.

So those are the basic things that our office would like to propose, is that we adopt the road standards, that we will consider the hydrocarbon pipeline standards such -- and the road standards such that we do not exceed the tolerable erosion rates that are standard by USDA and RCS.

MR. POWERS: Thank you, Mr. Fisher. I

14:25:38 25

1 neglected to say a couple of important points, so I'll 2 introduce those now. We're going to have a summary scoping report available to the public in January of all 3 4 the input received here during the 60-day comment 14:25:56 5 period. And the website is active right now and it is the best source of information and it will be the best 6 7 source of information on an ongoing basis. So we'd 8 encourage you to take a look at that. UT02 9 So, Dell Draper with Williams. Dell Draper with Williams 14:26:16 10 MR. DRAPER: 11 Companies. I manage the companies' affairs in the 12 western United States. Williams is a natural gas 13 company. We produce, gather and process, and transport 14 natural gas. We own the northwest pipeline, transportation pipeline, which runs from Northern New 14:26:40 15 16 Mexico up to the base of the Rockies and takes it up to 17 the markets in the Pacific Northwest. We also have 18 seven thousand miles of gathering lines in the states of Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico. None in the Price 19 14:27:02 20 area, for the benefit of the former speaker. 21 Williams is a smaller company to date than 22 it was five years ago. Five years ago we had additional 23 pipelines that totaled 65,000 miles and we also had a

24

25

14:27:20

26,000 mile fiberoptic network. The fiberoptic network

was a bad bet and caused us to sell a lot of our assets,